

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/849,774	05/21/2004	Mark Alan Etter	54525.000108	1103
21967	7590 10/11/2006		EXAMINER	
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Office Action Summary		10/849,774	MARK ALAN ETTER	
		Examiner	Art Unit	_
		Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is insort of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠ 3)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□ -	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b)□ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment	•	_		
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4)  lnterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/849,774

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 07/19/06.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over House, II et al. (4,677,362) in view of Mineck (4,533,306).

House, II et al. discloses (Fig. 10) the invention substantially as claimed including:

- Claims 1 and 10; a clip 20 (it is integral with the holster 12, which allows the clip to be directly and only attached to the housing, see Fig. 7).
- Claims 2-3; a clip can be mounted in two distinct positions (Fig. 1-4).
- Claims 4-5, 9 and 11; the mounting positions are on a motor portions (Fig. 1 and 4).
- Claim 6; clip is adapted to suspend the device from a user's belt (see col. 3, lines 32-33).
- Claims 7 and 12-14; a first projection and a second projection (Fig. 2).
- Claim 8; clip is injection molded from plastic and unitary in construction (Fig. 2).

House, II et al. does not show a reciprocating saw. However, Mineck teaches a reciprocating saw 11 for the purpose of cutting studs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill

Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of House, II et al. by providing the reciprocating saw as taught by Mineck in order to obtain device that cuts studs.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that House's clip is not directly and only attached to the housing. However, House's clip is integral with the holster 12, which allows the clip to be directly and only attached to the housing. Regarding a rotary motor, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to recognize that House and Mineck operate with a rotary motor inherently disclosed. Applicant argues that the clip of House is not mounted in two distinct positions. However, House's clip is capable of being mounted in two distinct positions (left or right positions).

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/849,774

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ofs 10/1/06

BOYER D. ASHLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4